

1	BARRY J. PORTMAN
2	Federal Public Defender VARELL L. FULLER
3	Assistant Federal Public Defender 160 West Santa Clara Street, Suite 575
4	San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone: (408) 291-7753
5	Counsel for Defendant MARY SANTOS MORALES
6	Counsel for Defendant in field State Cost More LEES
7	
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 09-01151-JF
11	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING HEARING DATE
12	vs.) AND EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
13	MARY SANTOS MORALES,
14	Defendants.
15)
16	STIPULATION
17	Defendant Mary Santos Morales, by and through Assistant Federal Public Defender
18	Varell L. Fuller, and the United States, by and through Assistant United States Attorney Jeffrey
19	B. Schenk, hereby stipulate that, with the Court's approval, that the status hearing currently set
20	for Thursday, March 25, 2010 at 9:00 a.m., shall be continued to Thursday, April 29, 2010 at
21	9:00 a.m.
22	The reason for the continuance is to allow time for further defense investigation into
23	issues relevant to the parties ongoing settlement discussions and defense counsel's effective
24	preparation.
25	The parties agree that the time between March 25, 2010 and April 29, 2010 is excludable
26	under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv), for effective preparation by
	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Continuing Hearing 1

1 defense counsel. 2 Dated: March 19, 2010 3 VARELL L. FULLER Assistant Federal Public Defender 4 5 Dated March 19, 2010 JEFFREY B. SCHENK 6 **Assistant United States Attorney** 7 8 **PROPOSED** ORDER 9 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, upon stipulation of the parties, IT IS HEREBY 10 ORDERED that the hearing currently set for Thursday, March 25, 2010, shall be continued to 11 Thursday, April 29, 2010, at 9:00 a.m. 12 THE COURT FINDS that failing to exclude the time between March 25, 2010, and April 13 29, 2010, would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective 14 preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 15 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). 16 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the ends of justice served by excluding the time 17 between March 25, 2010, and April 29, 2010, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act 18 outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 19 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between March 25, 2010, and 20 April 29, 2010, shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 21 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv). 22 IT IS SO ORDERED. 23 Dated: 3/23/10 24 THE HONORABL United States District Court Judge 25 26

Case 5:09-cr-01151-JF Document 12 Filed 03/24/10 Page 2 of 2